



The Annual Audit Letter for Epsom & Ewell Borough Council

Year ended 31 March 2019

30 August 2019



Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Sarah Ironmonger
Engagement Lead

T: +44 (0)20 7865 2997

E: Sarah.L.Ironmonger@uk.gt.com

Amber Banister
Audit Manager

T: +44 (0)207 865 2021

E: Amber.J.Banister@uk.gt.com

Section

1. Executive Summary
2. Audit of the Financial Statements
3. Value for Money conclusion

Page

- 3
5
5

Appendices

- A Reports issued and fees

Executive Summary

Purpose

Our Annual Audit Letter (Letter) summarises the key findings arising from the work that we have carried out at Epsom & Ewell Borough Council (the Council) and its subsidiary (the group) for the year ended 31 March 2019.

This Letter is intended to provide a commentary on the results of our work to the group and external stakeholders, and to highlight issues that we wish to draw to the attention of the public. In preparing this Letter, we have followed the National Audit Office (NAO)'s Code of Audit Practice and Auditor Guidance Note (AGN) 07 – 'Auditor Reporting'. We reported the detailed findings from our audit work to the Council's Strategy & Resources Committee as those charged with governance in our Audit Findings Report on 30 July 2019.

Our work

Materiality	We determined materiality for the audit of group's financial statements to be £1,081,000, which is 2% of the group's gross revenue expenditure.
Financial Statements opinion	We gave an unqualified opinion on the group's financial statements on 31 July 2019.
Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)	We completed work on the Council's consolidation return following guidance issued by the NAO.
Use of statutory powers	We did not identify any matters which required us to exercise our additional statutory powers.

Respective responsibilities

We have carried out our audit in accordance with the NAO's Code of Audit Practice, which reflects the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the Act). Our key responsibilities are to:

- give an opinion on the Council and group's financial statements (section two)
- assess the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources (the value for money conclusion) (section three).

In our audit of the Council and group's financial statements, we comply with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs) and other guidance issued by the NAO.

Executive Summary

Value for Money arrangements	We were satisfied that the Council put in place proper arrangements to ensure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We reflected this in our audit report to the Council on 30 July 2019.
Certification of Grants	We also carry out work to certify the Council's Housing Benefit subsidy claim on behalf of the Department for Work and Pensions. Our work on this claim is not yet complete and will be finalised by 30 November 2019. We will report the results of this work to the Strategy & Resources Committee separately.
Certificate	We certified that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of Epsom & Ewell Borough Council in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice on 31 July 2019.

Working with the Council

During the year we have delivered a number of successful outcomes with you:

- An efficient audit – we delivered an efficient audit with you in July, delivering the financial statements by the agreed deadline.
- Understanding your operational health – through the value for money conclusion we provided you with assurance on your operational effectiveness.
- Sharing our insight – we provided regular audit committee updates covering best practice.
- Supporting development – we provided training for the finance via our chief accountants' workshops.

We would like to record our appreciation for the assistance and co-operation provided to us during our audit by the Council's staff.

Audit of the Financial Statements

Our audit approach

Materiality

In our audit of the group's financial statements, we use the concept of materiality to determine the nature, timing and extent of our work, and in evaluating the results of our work. We define materiality as the size of the misstatement in the financial statements that would lead a reasonably knowledgeable person to change or influence their economic decisions.

We determined materiality for the audit of the group financial statements to be £1,081,000 which is 2% of the group's gross revenue expenditure. We determined materiality for the audit of the Council's financial statements to be £1,027,000, which is 95% of the group's materiality. We used this benchmark as, in our view, users of the group and Council's financial statements are most interested in where the group and Council has spent its revenue in the year.

We set a lower threshold of £54,000, above which we reported errors to the Strategy & Resources Committee in our Audit Findings Report.

The scope of our audit

Our audit involves obtaining sufficient evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements to give reasonable assurance that they are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes assessing whether:

- the accounting policies are appropriate, have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the significant accounting estimates made by management are reasonable; and
- the overall presentation of the financial statements gives a true and fair view.

We also read the remainder of the financial statements, the narrative report and annual governance statement published alongside the financial statements to check it is consistent with our understanding of the Council and with the financial statements included in the Annual Report on which we gave our opinion.

We carry out our audit in accordance with ISAs (UK) and the NAO Code of Audit Practice. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the group's business and is risk based.

We identified key risks and set out overleaf the work we performed in response to these risks and the results of this work.

Audit of the Financial Statements

Significant Audit Risks

These are the significant risks which had the greatest impact on our overall strategy and where we focused more of our work.

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p>Valuation of land and buildings You revalue your land and buildings on a rolling five-yearly basis.</p> <p>This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved (£75 million of PPE in 2017-18) and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>Additionally, management need to ensure the carrying value in your financial statements is not materially different from the current value or the fair value (for surplus assets) at the financial statements date, where a rolling programme is used</p> <p>We therefore identified valuation of land and buildings, particularly revaluations and impairments, as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>As part of our audit work we have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work• evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert• wrote to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out• challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding• tested revaluations made during the year to ensure that they have been input correctly into your asset register• evaluated the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value at year end.	<p>No issues were identified from the work performed in this area, thus we identified nothing to report.</p>

Audit of the Financial Statements

Significant Audit Risks (continued)

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p>Valuation of net pension liability Your pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.</p> <p>The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved (£34 million in your balance sheet in 2017-18) and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>We therefore identified valuation of your pension fund net liability as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>As part of our audit work we have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> gained an understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluate the design of the associated controls; evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work; assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the pension fund valuation; assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided to the actuary to estimate the liability; tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary; undertook procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and obtained assurances from auditors of Surrey County Council Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements. 	<p>You sought an additional actuarial assessment of the impact on your pension liability of the McCloud judgement and the potential effect of GMP, the updated assessment also provided updated figures for the return on the assets of the pension scheme.</p> <p>The total effect on the net pension liability of this is a material increase of £1.192 million.</p>

Audit of the Financial Statements

Significant Audit Risks (continued)

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p>Management override of internal controls</p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. You face external scrutiny of your spending and this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>As part of our audit work we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• documented the design effectiveness of management controls over journals• analysed the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals• tested unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration• gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence• evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.	<p>No issues were identified from the work performed in this area, thus we identified nothing to report.</p>

Audit of the Financial Statements

Audit opinion

We gave an unqualified opinion on the group's financial statements on 31 July 2019.

Preparation of the financial statements

The group presented us with draft financial statements in accordance with the national deadline, and provided a good set of working papers to support them. The finance team responded promptly and efficiently to our queries during the course of the audit.

Issues arising from the audit of the financial statements

We reported the key issues from our audit to the group's Strategy & Resources Committee on 30 July 2019.

Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report

We are required to review the Council's Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report. It published them on its website in the Statement of Accounts in line with the national deadlines.

Both documents were prepared in line with the CIPFA Code and relevant supporting guidance. We confirmed that both documents were consistent with the financial statements prepared by the Council and with our knowledge of the Council following a minor disclosure amendment.

Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)

We carried out work on the Council's Data Collection Tool in line with instructions provided by the NAO . We issued an assurance statement which confirmed the Council was below the audit threshold.

Certificate of closure of the audit

We certified that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of Epsom & Ewell Borough Council in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice on 31 July 2019.

Value for Money conclusion

Background

We carried out our review in accordance with the NAO Code of Audit Practice, following the guidance issued by the NAO in November 2017 which specified the criterion for auditors to evaluate:

In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.

Key findings

Our first step in carrying out our work was to perform a risk assessment and identify the risks where we concentrated our work.

The risks we identified and the work we performed are set out overleaf.

Overall Value for Money conclusion

We are satisfied that in all significant respects the Council put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ending 31 March 2019.

Value for Money conclusion

Value for Money Risks

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p>Sustainable Resource Deployment – Financial Sustainability in the Medium Term</p> <p>The overall Local Government sector has been facing a challenging financial outlook in recent years, which is to continue for 18/19 and for the medium term. Further pressure is expected across the sector as a result of continued increasing demand for services and falling central government funding, factors which are also affecting Epsom & Ewell Borough Council</p> <p>We will update our understanding the Authority’s financial arrangements. Evaluating the robustness of their medium term financial plan and budgeting to ensure that resources are deployed to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for local tax payers</p>	<p>In arriving at our conclusion, our main considerations were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluating your Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) and its assumptions for reasonableness and relevance; • Evaluating your 2019/20 budget setting process and determining the reasonableness of your plans to resolve budget gaps; • Assessing the 2018/19 outturn position against the 2018/19 budget to determine the potential effect on medium term financial sustainability; • Understanding your reserves policy and evaluating the actual use of reserves against planned usage. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You have demonstrated that you have a robust budget setting process which incorporates an appropriate level of challenge and scrutiny from member committees and good level of support from finance • You undertake ongoing budget monitoring throughout the year to ensure budgets remain relevant and any risks identified quickly. • You are able to successfully plan for the medium and long term by producing an Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) incorporating a 10 year forecast based on realistic assumptions and allows you to identify and plan for savings. • You have produced a balanced budget for 2019/20 which is realistic in its assumptions and includes appropriate risks and opportunities • You have been able to fully identify and plan for savings required in 2019/20 leaving no budget gaps to identify moving into next year. • In terms of outturn position you have demonstrated control over spending in 2018/19 and recorded an underspend of £68k on your revenue account for 2018/19. • You have maintained a strong reserves increasing the level of usable reserves by £2.8m in the year to £28.9m overall. • You have set yourself a minimum general fund balance of £2.5m which you wish to hold to ensure a minimum level of funds is available for non-specific expenditure should it be required. You have demonstrated that you have exceeded this minimum threshold for 2018/19 by holding a general fund reserve of £3.4m and have plans to maintain the minimum level in the medium term <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accordingly, in our view, appropriate arrangements are in place to support your medium term financial position.

A. Reports issued and fees

We confirm below our final reports issued and fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services.

Reports issued

Report	Date issued
Audit Plan	31 January 2019
Audit Findings Report	30 July 2019
Annual Audit Letter	20 August 2019

Fees

	Planned £	Actual fees £	2017/18 fees £
Statutory audit	34,425	TBC	44,708
Housing Benefit Grant Certification	16,000	TBC	16,000
Total fees	50,425	TBC	60,708

Audit fee variation

As outlined in our audit plan, the 2018-19 scale fee published by PSAA of £34,425 assumes that the scope of the audit does not significantly change. There are a number of areas where the scope of the audit has changed, which has led to additional work. These are set out in the following table.

Area	Reason	Fee proposed
Assessing the impact of the McCloud ruling	The Government's transitional arrangements for pensions were ruled discriminatory by the Court of Appeal last December. The Supreme Court refused the Government's application for permission to appeal this ruling. As part of our audit we have reviewed the revised actuarial assessment of the impact on the financial statements along with any audit reporting requirements.	1,600
Pensions – IAS 19	The Financial Reporting Council has highlighted that the quality of work by audit firms in respect of IAS 19 needs to improve across local government audits. Accordingly, we have increased the level of scope and coverage in respect of IAS 19 this year to reflect this.	1,200
PPE Valuation – work of experts	As above, the Financial Reporting Council has highlighted that auditors need to improve the quality of work on PPE valuations across the sector. We have increased the volume and scope of our audit work to reflect this.	1,200
Total		4,000

Fee variations are subject to PSAA approval.



© 2019 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.